

# Parallel session 1

## Group 1.A: Governance and process-related aspects of CCIV assessments



# Main findings of the CCIVA report - CCIV Assessment Process

- Bring together experts from different fields and other stakeholders
- Facilitate the creation of knowledge networks that remain active after CCIVA;
- Process needs as much attention as content and analytical approach;
- Well-designed process – supports implementation:
  - interaction among experts
  - relevant links of vulnerability between sectors (interdisciplinarity)
  - active involvement of relevant stakeholders (transdisciplinarity);
- Ensures deeper insights into the factors determining impacts and vulnerabilities, and through better decision support;
- Failure
  - silo thinking (e.g. unwillingness to share knowledge) regarding specific impacts and vulnerabilities
  - important links between sectors/activities may be missed.

# Main findings of the CCIVA report - Policy development/Governance

- CCIVAs evolved in conjunction with development of adaptation policies;
- Future challenge
  - maintain fruitful interaction between science and policy in an increasingly diverse context
- Main task
  - create different feedback opportunities between policy development and CCIVAs;
- Usefulness of some standardization of approaches and processes
- NOT to lock CCIVAs into a “restricted” role (e.g. static view) of policy development.



# Main findings of the CCIVA report - Policy development/Governance

- New information needs arise for follow-up CCIVAs
  - Adaptation policies develop + mainstreaming of adaptation progresses
- Iterative process – dynamic interaction between CCIVAs and policy design
- More information needs
  - sectors already recognised/included in CCIVAs and in 'new' areas (e.g. cross-border impacts) may require new approaches in CCIVAs;
- Likely increasing demand for detailed socio-economic information and differential social vulnerability to climate change impacts;
- Increasing mainstreaming at local level
  - demand for more specific and spatially disaggregated CCIVAs
  - can strengthen national CCIVAs role as synthesizers of diverse CCIV information

# Questions to address in this Group

- **What have you learned from past CCIV Assessments in terms of the governance of the process?**
- **What are success factors for institutional cooperation (e.g. between environmental administration, meteorological services, and other sectoral/thematic administrations)?**
- **How were the results of CCIV Assessments used in the adaptation policy process, by different actors?**
- **Was support by the EU helpful, and what could be done further?**